

https://www.coreb.infectiologie.com/

THERAPEUTIC



# Scientific update on COVID-19

Updated on February 18<sup>th</sup> 2021

#### **Redaction committee**

Boris Lacarra – ANRS MIE

F-Xavier Lescure – Inserm, AP-HP Bichat, COREB

Guillaume Mellon – AP-HP Bichat, COREB

Inmaculada Ortega Perez – ANRS MIE

Eric D'Ortenzio – ANRS MIE

Erica Telford – ANRS MIE

#### **Reviewing committee**

Jean-Marc Chapplain – CHU Rennes, COREB Flavie Chatel – COREB Hélène Coignard – HCL, COREB Dominique Costagliola – Inserm, ANRS MIE Marie-Paule Kieny – Inserm, ANRS MIE

Quentin Le Hingrat – Inserm, AP-HP Bichat

Jean-Christophe Lucet – Inserm, AP-HP Bichat Claire Madelaine – Inserm, ANRS MIE Matthieu Mahevas – Inserm, AP-HP Henri-Mondor Emmanuelle Vidal Petiot – Inserm, AP-HP Bichat Benoit Visseaux – Inserm, AP-HP Bichat





### THERAPEUTIC

#### **Questions:**

- What drug showed clinical efficacy?
- What drugs did not show proven benefits?





#### **COVID-19 Treatment**

- Dexamethasone is the first drug to show life-saving efficacy in patients infected with COVID-19
- More data from clinical trials are needed

Classes of treatment							
Anti viral effect	Monoclonal antibody	Immunomodulatory effect	Passive immunity				
(Hydroxy)chloroquine	Tocilizumab	Corticosteroids					
Ivermectin	Vilobelimab	INFβ-1a	Convalescent plasma				
Lopinavir/ritonavir	LY CoV 555/016	Janus Kinase (JAK) inhibitor					
Remdesivir	Anakinra						
OCOREB	REG CoV2						



	•	
Δnti	VIral	ottort
	viiai	CHECL

## Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Abella	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled	HCQ <i>vs.</i> placebo ( <u>Pre</u> -exposure prophylaxis)	<b>N= 132</b> Hospital HCW (ED and COVID-19 units)	Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection	Early termination of the study HCQ group: 4/64 (6,3%) vs. placebo group: 4/61 (6,6%); p > 0,99
Rajasingham	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled	HCQ once/week vs. placebo HCQ twice/week vs. placebo ( <u>Pre</u> -exposure prophylaxis)	N= 1483 Hospital HCW (ED, ICU, COVID-19 units, first responders)	Confirmed or probable COVID-19 compatible illness	HCQ once/week group: 29/494 (5,9%) <i>vs.</i> placebo group: 39/494 (7,9%); HR: 0,72 <sub>95%</sub> Cl[0,44-1,16] HCQ twice/week group: 29/495 (5,9%) <i>vs.</i> placebo group: 39/494 (7,9%); HR: 0,74 <sub>95%</sub> Cl[0,46-1,19]



No virological data on some studies



6

Abella BS et al. JAMA Int Med Feb 2021 MALADIES INFECTIEUSES EMERGENTES

Rajasingham R et al. CID Oct 2020

## Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Boulware	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled	HCQ <i>vs.</i> placebo ( <u>Post</u> exposure prophylaxis)	<b>N= 821</b> Exposed to a known COVID-19 individual	Incidence of either laboratory confirmed COVID-19 or illness compatible with COVID- 19 within 14 days	HCQ group: 49/414 (11,8%) vs. placebo group: 58/407 (14,3%); p=0,35
Mitjà	Open-label, cluster-randomized trial	HCQ vs. usual care ( <u>Post</u> exposure prophylaxis)	N= 2314 Healthy contacts of 672 index case patients with COVID-19	D14 PCR-confirmed, symptomatic COVID-19	HCQ group: 64/1116 (5,7%) <i>vs.</i> usual care group: 74/1198 (6,2%); RR=0.86 <sub>95%</sub> IC[0,52-1,42)





## Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Mitjà	Multicenter, open label, randomized controlled trial	HCQ <i>vs</i> . placebo ( <u>Non</u> - hospitalized)	<b>N= 353</b> Mild symptoms < 5 days before enrollment	D3 and D7 reduction of viral RNA load from nasopharyngeal swab	D3 VL <sup>\$</sup> : HCQ group: -1,41 vs. placebo group: -1,41 Log <sub>10</sub> copies/mL; difference: 0,01 $_{95\%}$ Cl[-0,28- 0,29] D7 VL <sup>§</sup> : HCQ group: -3,44 vs. placebo group: -3,37 Log <sub>10</sub> copies/mL; difference: 0,07 $_{95\%}$ Cl[-0,44- 0,29]
Skipper	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled	HCQ vs. placebo ( <u>Non</u> - hospitalized)	N= 491	D14 ordinal outcome of not hospitalized, hospitalized, or intensive care unit stay or death	Mean reduction from baseline: HCQ group: 2,60 points <i>vs.</i> placebo group: 2,33 points; absolute difference: 0,27 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,61-0,07]; p=0,117)



No virological data on some studies

8

Skipper et al. Ann Intern Med Oct 2020 MALADIES INFECTIEUSES EMERGENTES

Mitja et al. CID Jul 2020

Э

	• •	· · · ·
Anti	VIral	ottort
	viiai	CHELL

## Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Cavalcanti	Multicenter, randomized, open- label, controlled	HCQ + AZ vs. SoC, HCQ vs. SoC, HCQ + AZ vs. HCQ ( <b>Hospitalized</b> )	N= 667 No supplemental O <sub>2</sub> or a max of 4 L/min supplemental, ≤14 days since symptom onset	D15 clinical status (seven-level ordinal scale)	HCQ + AZ vs. control: OR: 0,99 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,57-1,73]; HCQ vs. control: OR: 1,21 CI <sub>95%</sub> [0,69- 2,11]; HCQ + AZ vs. HCQ: OR: 0,82 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,47-1,43]
RECOVERY	Randomized, controlled, open- label	HCQ vs. usual care (Hospitalized)	N= 4717 Median of days since symptom onset: 9 days	D28 mortality	HCQ group: 421/1561 (27,0%) vs. usual care group: 790/3155 (25,0%) RR: 1,09; <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,97-1,23]; p=0,15





Anti vira	I attact
	ICHELL

# Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Self	Multicenter, randomized, blinded, placebo- controlled	HCQ <i>vs.</i> placebo ( <b>Hospitalized</b> )	N= 479 supplemental oxygen to maintain SpO <sub>2</sub> ≥92% Onset of symptoms to randomization: 5 days <sup>§</sup>	D14 clinical status (seven-level ordinal scale)	HCQ group: 6 (4-7) vs. placebo group: 6 (4-7); OR: 1,02 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,73-1,42]
SOLIDARITY (WHO)	Multicenter, randomized, open- label, non-placebo- controlled	HCQ <i>vs.</i> control (Hospitalized)	N= 1 863 Study stopped for futility	All-cause mortality	HCQ group: 104/947 (10,2%) vs. placebo group: 84/906 (8,9%); rate ratio: 1,19; <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,89-1.59]; p= 0,23





# Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Tang	Randomized, controlled, multicenter, open label	HCQ + SoC <i>vs.</i> SoC ( <b>Hospitalized</b> )	N= 150 Mild to moderate or severe disease, onset of symptoms to randomization: 16,6 days <sup>+</sup>	D28 negative conversion of SARS- CoV-2	HCQ + SoC: 85,4%, <sub>95%</sub> CI[73,8% - 93,8%] <i>vs.</i> SoC: 81,3%, <sub>95%</sub> CI[71,2%-89,6%]
Ulrich	Multicenter, double-blind randomized	HCQ vs. placebo (Hospitalized)	<b>N= 128</b> Excluded patient admitted in ICU	D14 severe disease progression composite end point	HCQ group: 11/67 (16,4%) vs. placebo group: 6/61 (9,8%); p=0,35





## Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPVr)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Cao	Randomized, controlled, open- label	LPVr vs. SoC (Hospitalized)	<b>N= 199</b> SaO <sub>2</sub> $\leq$ 94% or PaO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub> $<$ 300 mm Hg	Time to clinical improvement	LPVr group <b>not associated</b> with a difference in time to clinical improvement HR: 1,31 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,95-1,80]
RECOVERY	Randomized, controlled, open- label	LPVr + SoC <i>vs.</i> SoC (Hospitalized)	N= 5 040 Not specified	28-day all-cause mortality	LPVr + SoC group: 364/1616 (23%) <i>vs.</i> SoC group 767/3424 (22%); RR: 1,03 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,91-1,17], p=0,60
Schoergenhofer	Experimental	One group (Hospitalized)	<b>N= 8</b> Non ICU patients	LPVr plasma concentration	Approximately 2-fold higher than HIV patients receiving the same dose (7.1 μg/mL) 60 to 120-fold higher concentrations are required to reach the assumed LPV EC <sub>50</sub>
	LPVr : Lopinavir/ritonavir	I	No virological data on RECOVERY L	some studies	ao B et al. NEJM May 2020

### Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPVr)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
SOLIDARITY (WHO)	Multicenter, randomized, open-label, non- placebo- controlled	LPVr vs. control (Hospitalized)	N= 2 791 Study stopped for futility	All-cause mortality	LPVr group : 148/1399 (9,7%) vs. placebo group: 146/1372 (10,3%); rate ratio: 1,00; <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,79-1,25]; p= 0,97
Zhang	Systematic review and meta-analysis	LPVr <i>vs.</i> control specified (Hospitalized)	N= 4 023 Not specified	ARDS and Mortality rate	ARDS rate: LPVr group 15,6% vs. control group 24,2%; p= 0,49 Mortality rate: LPVr group 6,2% vs. control group 5,5%; p= 0,93





## Ivermectin (IVM)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Ahmed	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled	Oral IVM alone vs. IVM + doxycycline vs. placebo (Hospitalized)	N= 72	Virological clearance (days)	Oral IVM group: 9,7 <sub>95%</sub> Cl [7,8-11,8], IVM + doxycycline group: 11,5 <sub>95%</sub> Cl [9,8-13,2], placebo group: 12,7 <sub>95%</sub> Cl [11,3-14,2] Oral IVM group <i>vs.</i> placebo p=0,02; Oral IVM group <i>vs.</i> IVM + doxycycline p=0,27
Camprubí	Retrospective study	IVM <i>vs.</i> non- IVM ( <b>Hospitalized</b> )	N= 26 All patients received HCQ and azithromycin Severe patients	D3-D5 SARS-CoV-2 PCR and clinical improvement	D3-D5 SARS-CoV-2 PCR: IVM group : 5/13 (38,5%) <i>vs.</i> non-IVM group : 4/13 (30,8%); p>0,99 Clinical improvement: IVM group : 9/13 (69,2%) <i>vs.</i> non-IVM group : 10/13 (76,9%); p>0,99
CODE	D				



Camprubí D *et al.* PLoS One Nov 2020 Ahmed S *et al.* International Journal of Infectious Diseases Dec 2020

### Ivermectin (IVM)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Cepelowicz Rajter	Retrospective study	IVM <i>vs.</i> usual care ( <b>Hospitalized</b> )	N= 280	All-cause in-hospital mortality	IVM group: 15,0% <i>vs.</i> usual care group: 25,2%; OR, 0,52; <sub>95%</sub> CI [0,29-0,96]; p= 0,03
Chaccour	Double-blind, placebo- controlled, parallel-arm, superiority, randomized	IVM <i>vs.</i> placebo	<b>N= 24</b> Non-severe patients without risk factors	D7 proportion of patients with detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA (PCR)	IVM group: 11/12 (91%) <i>vs.</i> placebo group: 12/12 (100%) RR 0,92 <sub>95%</sub> Cl [0,77-10,09]; p=1,0





### Ivermectin (IVM)

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Chachar	Randomized, controlled, open- label	IVM <i>vs.</i> usual care	<b>N= 50</b> Mild cases of COVID-19 patients	D7 improvement symptoms	IVM group : 16/25 (64%) vs. non-IVM group : 15/25 (60%); p=0,5
Podder	Randomized, controlled, open- label	IVM + usual care <i>vs.</i> usual care only (Hospitalized)	<b>N= 62</b> Mild to moderate patients	Total recovery time from the onset of symptoms to complete resolution of symptoms (days)	IVM group : 10,09 ± 3,24 vs. control group : 11,50 ± 5.32 <sub>95%</sub> CI [-0,86-3,63]; p>0,05





- Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, academic study, China
- **Inclusion criteria:** age ≥ 18yo, positive SARS-CoV-2 RT PCR, pneumonia confirmed by chest Imaging,  $SpO_2 < 94\%$ (room air) or  $PaO_2/FiO_2 \le 300$  mmHg, within 12 days of symptom onset
- **Exclusion criteria:** pregnant women, renal impairment, hepatic cirrhosis
- **Primary outcome**: time to clinical improvement within 28 days after randomization
- Secondary outcome : D28 mortality, SARS-CoV-2 viral load
- 237 eligible patients, 158 received **RDV**, 79 placebo (2:1)





ission nationale

Coordination Opérationnelle

NP: nasopharyngeal

OP: oropharyngeal

Characteristics	RDV (N=158)	Placebo (N=78)
Age, median (IQR) – yr	66 (57-73)	64 (53-70)
Male sex – no (%)	89 (56)	51 (65)
Baseline viral load of NP and OP swabs median (IQR) – ( $log_{10}$ copies/mL)	4,7 (0,3)	4,7 (0,4)
Coexisting conditions		
Diabetes – no (%)	40 (25)	16 (21)
Hypertension – no (%)	72 (46)	30 (38)
Coronary heart disease – no (%)	15 (9)	2 (3)
Vital sign		
Respiratory rate > 24/min – no (%)	36 (23)	11 (14)
Time from symptom onset to starting study treatment, median (IQR) – days	11 (9–12)	10 (9–12)
Early (≤10 days from symptom onset) – no (%)	71/155 (46%)	47 (60%)
Late (>10 days from symptom onset) – no (%)	84/155 (54%)	31 (40%)



- **Time to clinical improvement**: median 21,0 days [IQR 13,0-28,0] RDV group vs. 23,0 days [15,0-28,0] placebo group; no significant difference HR 1,23 IC<sub>95%</sub>[0,87-1,75]
- **D28 mortality**: 22/158 (14%) RDV group vs. 10/78 (13%) placebo group; similar
- **Viral load**: decreased over time similarly in both groups
- Adverse events: 102 (66%) RDV group vs. 50 (64%) • placebo group
- **Limits:** target enrolment not reached; insufficient power to detect assumed differences in clinical outcomes, late treatment initiation (within 12 days of symptom onset), no virological data





# Remdesivir (RDV) - 2

- Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter (73 centers), academic study, USA, Adaptive Covid-19 treatment trial (ACTT-1)
- Inclusion criteria: SARS-CoV-2 RT PCR positive patients, radiographic infiltrates, SpO<sub>2</sub> < 94% (room air) or requiring supplemental oxygen, mechanical ventilation, or ECMO
- Exclusion criteria: pregnant women, allergy to study product
- Primary outcome: time to recovery
- 1062 patients underwent randomization;
  541 RDV group, 521 placebo group (1:1)





Characteristics	All (N=1062)	RDV (N=541)	Placebo (N=521)
Age, mean (SD) – yo	58,9 (15)	58,6 (14,6)	59,2 (15,4)
Male sex – no (%)	684 (64,4)	352 (65,1)	332 (63,6)
Time from symptom onset to randomization, median (IQR) — days	9 (6–12)	9 (6–12)	9 (7–13)
Co existing conditions			
Type 2 Diabetes – no (%)	322/1051 (30,6)	164/532 (30,8)	158/519 (30,4)
Hypertension – no (%)	533/1051 (50,7)	269/532 (50,6)	264/519 (50,9)
Obesity – no (%)	476/1049 (45,4)	242/531 (45,6)	234/518 (45,2)
Score on ordinal scale			
4. Hospitalized, not requiring supplemental O <sub>2</sub> , requiring ongoing medical care – no (%)	133 (13,0)	75 (13,9)	63 (12,1)
5. Hospitalized, requiring supplemental O <sub>2</sub> – no (%)	435 (41,0)	232 (41)	203 (39,0)
6. Hospitalized, receiving noninvasive ventilation/high flow $O_2$ device – no (%)	193 (18,2)	95 (17,6)	98 (18,8)
7. Hospitalized, receiving invasive mechanical ventilation or ECMO – no (%)	285 (26,8)	131 (24,2)	154 (29,6)



Beigel JH *et al. NEJM* Nov 2020

All patients

Baseline ordinal score

5 (receiving oxygen)

4 (not receiving oxygen)

6 (receiving high-flow oxygen or

noninvasive mechanical ventilation)

7 (receiving mechanical ventilation or ECMO)

0.33

- **Time to recovery (median)**: RDV group: 10 days *vs.* placebo group: 15 days; recovery rate ratio 1,29 Cl<sub>95%</sub>[1,12-1,49]
- **D29 mortality**: RDV group: 11,4% vs. placebo group: 15,2%; HR 0,73 Cl<sub>95%</sub>[0,52-1,03]
- Adverse events: RDV group: 131/532 (24,6%) vs. placebo group: 163/516 (31,6%)
- **<u>Limits</u>**: primary outcome changed during the study, uncompleted follow up, no virological data



- Randomized, open-label, non-placebocontrolled, international trial, WHO, SOLIDARITY
- Inclusion criteria: patients aged ≥ 18yo, hospitalized with definite COVID-19, not already receiving any of the study drugs, no allergy nor contra-indications to any of them
- Exclusion criteria: significant contraindication to any one of the study drugs
- Primary outcome: all-cause mortality
- Secondary outcome: initiation of mechanical ventilation and hospitalization duration
- 5475 patients underwent randomization; 2750
  RDV group, 2725 control group (1:1)





Ch	aracteristics	All (N= 11 266)	RDV (N= 2 743)	Control (N=2 708)
Age	< 50 yr – no (%)	3995 (35)	961	952
	50-69 yr – no (%)	5125 (45)	1282	1282
	≥ 70 yr – no (%)	2146 (19)	500	469
Sex	Male sex – no (%)	6985 (62)	1706	1725
Co existing conditions	Diabetes – no(%)	2768 (25)	707	666
	Heart disease – no (%)	2337 (21)	571	567
	Chronic lung disease – no (%)	635 (6)	151	145
<b>Respiratory support</b>	No supplemental O <sub>2</sub> at entry	3204 (28)	661	664
	Supplemental O <sub>2</sub> at entry	7146 (63)	1828	1811
	Already receiving ventilation	916 (8)	254	233





- All-cause mortality: 301/2743 (12,5%) RDV group vs. 303/2708 (12,7%) placebo group; rate ratio: 0,95; Cl<sub>95%</sub>[0,81-1,11]; p= 0,50
- Initiation of mechanical ventilation: RDV group: 295/2489 (11,9%) vs. control group 284/2475 (11,5%)
- Time to discharge: RDV did not reduced hospitalization duration





1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Goldman	Open-label, randomized, placebo-controlled, multicenter, SIMPLE trial	RDV <i>5 days vs.</i> RDV <i>10 days</i> ( <b>Hospitalized</b> )	N = 402 SpO <sub>2</sub> < 94%* or requiring supplemental O <sub>2</sub> , Symptoms <sup>§</sup> before 1 <sup>st</sup> RDV dose (IQR) : RDV <i>5 days</i> : 8 days (5– 11) <i>vs.</i> RDV <i>10 days :</i> 9 days (6–12)	Status assessed on day 14 on a 7-point ordinal scale	No significant difference in efficacy between 5-day and 10-day courses of remdesivir
Spinner	Randomized, open- label, placebo- controlled, multicenter	RDV <i>5 days vs.</i> RDV <i>10 days vs.</i> SoC ( <b>Hospitalized</b> )	<b>N = 596</b> SpO <sub>2</sub> > 94%* Symptoms <sup>§</sup> before 1 <sup>st</sup> RDV dose, (IQR): RDV <i>5 days</i> : 8 (5-11) <i>vs.</i> RDV <i>10</i> <i>days</i> : 8 (5-11) <i>vs.</i> SoC: 9 (6-11)	Clinical status assessed on the 7-point ordinal scale on study day 11	5-day RDV group higher clinical status distribution compare to SoC; OR: 1,65 <sub>95%</sub> CI[1,09-2,48]; p= 0,02





# Corticosteroids (CT) - 1

- Randomized, controlled, open-label, multi center (176 hospitals), academic study, UK (RECOVERY)
- Inclusion criteria : age ≥ 9yo (age changed during the study)), SARS-CoV-2 infection (clinically suspected or laboratory confirmed), pregnant or breast-feeding women were eligible
- **Primary outcome**: all-cause mortality within 28 days after randomization
- Secondary outcome: time until discharge from hospital, invasive mechanical ventilation (including ECMO) or death (among patients not receiving invasive mechanical ventilation at randomization)
- 6 425 participants; 4 321 usual care alone group, 2 104 DXM group (2:1)





#### Corticosteroids (CT) - 1

	Treatment assignment			
Characteristics	DXM (N=2 104)	Usual care (N=4 321)		
Age ≥ 70 yr – no (%)	963 (45)	1817 (42)		
Female sex – no (%)	766 (36)	1572 (36)		
Coexisting conditions				
Diabetes – no (%)	521 (25)	1025 (24)		
Heart disease – no (%)	586 (49,1)	1171 (27)		
Chronic lung disease – no (%)	415 (20)	931 (22)		
SARS-CoV-2 test result				
Positive – no (%)	20 (18-22)	18 (18-20)		
<b>Respiratory support received</b>				
No oxygen – no (%)	501 (24)	1034 (24)		
Oxygen only – no (%)	1279 (61)	2604 (60)		
Invasive mechanical ventilation – no (%)	324 (15)	683 (16)		



Э

# Corticosteroids (CT) - 1

- Day 28 mortality: 482/2104 (22,9%) DXM group vs. 1110/4321 (25,7%) usual care group, risk ratio 0,83 Cl<sub>95%</sub>[0,75-0,93]
- Discharged from hospital within 28 days: 1413/2104 (67,2%) DXM group vs. 2745/4321 (63,5%) usual care group, risk ratio 1,10 Cl<sub>95%</sub>[1,03-1,17]
- Invasive mechanical ventilation or death: 456/1780 (25,6%) DXM group vs. 994/3638 (27,3%) usual care group, risk ratio 0,92 Cl<sub>95%</sub>[0,84-1,01]
- <u>Limits</u>: Preliminary report, patients without confirmed SARS-CoV-2 positive PCR included, age of inclusion changed during the study, absence of viral load follow-up







# Corticosteroids (CT) - 2

- Prospective Meta-analysis, academic study, WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group
- Objective: estimate the association between administration of corticosteroids compared with usual care or placebo and 28-day all-cause mortality
- **Primary outcome**: all-cause mortality at 28 days after randomization
- Secondary outcome: investigator-defined serious adverse events
- 1703 included participants; 678 (40%) corticosteroid group (systemic dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, or methylprednisolone); 1025 (60%) usual care or placebo group





# Corticosteroids (CT) - 2

- 222/678 deaths among patients randomized to corticosteroids group vs. 425/1025 deaths among patients randomized to usual care or placebo; OR: 0,66 IC<sub>95%</sub> [0,53-0,82]; p < 0,001 fixedeffect meta-analysis)
- Association with mortality: DXM: 0,64 IC<sub>95%</sub> [0,5-0,82]; p<0,001 (3 trials), HC: 0,69 IC<sub>95%</sub> [0,43-1,12]; p=0,13 (3 trials), mPred: 0,91 IC<sub>95%</sub> [0,29-2,87]; p=0,87 (1 trial)
- <u>Limits</u>: risk of selective reporting or of publication bias, missing outcome data, trials only recruited adults, effect of corticosteroids on children remains unclear

	No. of deaths/total No. of patients		Odds ratio	Steroi	ds 👔 🛙	No Steroids
Drug and trial	Steroids	No steroids	(95% CI)	bette	r 🕴	better
Dexamethasone						
DEXA-COVID 19	2/7	2/12	2.00 (0.21-18.69	)		
CoDEX	69/128	76/128	0.80 (0.49-1.31)			- 3
RECOVERY	95/324	283/683	0.59 (0.44-0.78)	-	-	
Subgroup fixed effect	166/459	361/823	0.64 (0.50-0.82)	<		
Hydrocortisone						
CAPE COVID	1/75	20/73	0.46 (0.20-1.04)		-	
COVID STEROID	6/15	2/14	4.00 (0.65-24.66	)		
REMAP-CAP	26/105	29/92	0.71 (0.38-1.33)			-
Subgroup fixed effect	43/195	51/179	0.69 (0.43-1.12)	$\leq$		
Methylprednisolone						
Steroids-SARI	13/24	13/23	0.91 (0.29-2.87)			
Overall (fixed effect) P = .31 for heterogeneity	222/678	425/1025	0.66 (0.53-0.82)	<	>	
Overall (random effects <sup>a</sup>	222/678	425/1025	0.70 (0.48-1.01)	<	>	
				0.2	1	
						as mak

Sterne et al. JAMA Sep 2020



#### Corticosteroids (CT) - 3

Author	СТ	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (primary outcome)	
				N=213 Moderate to severe COVID-19, Median time to CT initiation from admission: 2 days (1-4)	Escalation of care from ward to ICU	SoC group 31 (44,3%) <i>vs.</i> mPred group 32 (27,3%) OR: 0,47 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,25-0,88], p= 0,017	
Fadel R	mPred	Multi-center, quasi- experimental	mPred <i>vs.</i> no mPred		COVID-19, Median time to CT initiation from	New requirement for MV	SoC group 26 (36,6%) <i>vs.</i> CT group 26 (21,7%) OR: 0,47 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,25-0,92], p= 0,025
					Death	SoC group 21 (26,3%) <i>vs.</i> CT group 18 (13,6%) OR: 0,45 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,22-0,91], p= 0,024	
Nelson B	mPred	Case-control study	mPred <i>vs.</i> control	N=117 Requiring MV Median time from symptom onset to admission: 7 days (3–8)	D28 ventilator-free after admission	mPred group 6,2 <i>vs.</i> control group 3,14, p=0,044	



mPred: methylprednisolone MV: mechanical ventilation Fadel R *et al. CID May* 2020 Nelson B *et al.* CID Aug 2020



### Corticosteroids (CT) - 3

Author	СТ	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (primary outcome)
Prado Jeronimo	mPred	Parallel, double-blind, placebo- controlled, randomized	mPred <i>vs.</i> placebo	N=416 Suspected COVID-19 hospitalized patients Median time from illness onset to randomization: 13 days (9–16)	D28 mortality	mPred group 72/194 (37,1%) <i>vs.</i> placebo group 76/199 (38,2%) HR: 0,924 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,669-1,275], p= 0,629
Tomazini	DXM	Multicenter, randomized, open-label	DXM + SoC <i>vs.</i> SoC	N= 299 Receiving MV, Median time since symptom onset: DXM group: 9 days (7-11) vs. SoC group 10 days (6-12)	Ventilator-free days during the first 28 days	<b>Study interrupted</b> DXM + SoC group 6,6 IC <sub>95%</sub> [5-8,2] <i>vs</i> . SoC group 4,0 <sub>95%</sub> CI[2,9-5,4], p= 0,04



Prado Jeronimo *et al. CID* Aug 2020 Tomazini BM *et al. JAMA* Sep 2020 Э

### Corticosteroids (CT) - 4

Author	СТ	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (primary outcome)
Dequin	нс	Multicenter randomized double-blind	HC <i>vs.</i> placebo	N=149 Critically ill, acute respiratory failure Median durations of symptoms prior to randomization: HC group 9 days (7-11,5) vs. placebo group 10 days (8-12)	D21 treatment failure	<b>Study stopped early</b> HC group 32/76 (42,1%) <i>vs</i> . placebo group 37/76 (50,7%) p= 0,29
Angus	нс	Multicenter, open label trial	HC <i>vs.</i> placebo	<b>N=384</b> Admitted in ICU for respiratory or cardiovascular organ support	D21 respiratory and cardiovascular organ support–free	Study stopped early No treatment strategy met prespecified criteria for statistical superiority, precluding definitive conclusions



Dequin PF et al. JAMA Sep 2020 Angus DC et al. JAMA Sep 2020 MALADIES INFECTIEUSES EMERGENTES



# Tocilizumab (TCZ) - 1

- Multicenter, open-label, randomized, controlled clinical trial, academic study, France (CORIMUNO-TOCI 1 trial)
- Inclusion criteria : confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (positive RT-PCR and/or typical chest CT), moderate or severe pneumonia (at least 3 L/min of O<sub>2</sub>, without ventilation) and patients with critical pneumonia (high-flow oxygen, non invasive ventilation (NIV) or MV)
- **Primary outcome**: scores >5 on the WHO 10-point Clinical Progression Scale (WHO-CPS) on day 4 and survival without need of ventilation at day 14
- Secondary outcome: overall survival, adverse events
- 154 participants; 76 usual care group, 78 TCZ + usual care group (1:1)

UC: usual care

MV: mechanical ventilation

nation Opérationnelle



# Tocilizumab (TCZ) - 1

Characteristics	TCZ (N=63)	Usual care (N=67)
Age (y) – median (IQR)	64 (57,1-74,3)	63,3 (57,1-72,3)
Female sex – no (%)	19 (30)	23 (34)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) – median (IQR)	27,9 (23,3-30,8)	27,4 (24,5-31,3)
Coexisting conditions		
Diabetes – no (%)	20/61 (33)	23/67 (34)
Chronic cardiac disease – no (%)	20/61 (33)	20/67 (30)
Chronic kidney disease – no (%)	5/61 (8)	13/67 (19)
Other		
Time from symptoms onset to randomization – days – median (IQR)	10 (7-13)	10 (8 – 13)
Respiratory rate – median (IQR), bpm	24 (22-30)	26 (24-30)
Flow – median (IQR), L/min	5 (3-8)	5 (3-6)
Patients who received CT during the trial – no (%)	21 (33%)	41 (61%)



Э
Monoclonal antibody

## Tocilizumab (TCZ) - 1

- Day 4 WHO-CPS scores >5 : 12/63 (19%) TCZ group vs. 19/67 (28%) UC group, median posterior absolute risk difference -9,0%; Crl<sub>90%</sub>[-21,0-3,1], no difference
- Day 14 Primary outcome : 15/63 (24%) TCZ group vs. 24/67 (36%) UC group. TCZ group: 12% fewer patients needed NIV or MV or died. Posterior probability of hazard ratio (HR) <1 of 95,0%, achieving the predefined efficacy threshold. MV or death HR: 0,58; Crl<sub>90%</sub>[0,33-1,00]
- D28 survival : 7/63 TCZ group vs. 9/67 UC group, adjusted HR: 0,92; Cl<sub>95%</sub>[0,33-2,53], no difference
- Serious adverse events : 20/63 (32%) TCZ group vs. 29/67 (43%) UC group; p=0,21
- Limits: not a blind study, UC may have differed Usual care among centers and over time, D14 overall mortality ≈

UC: usual care





NIV: non invasive ventilation - MV: mechanical ventilation



- Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, USA (BACC Bay Tocilizumab Trial)
- Inclusion criteria : age 18-85 yo, confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (nasopharyngeal swab RT-PCR or serum IgM antibody assay), fever or pulmonary infiltrates or a need for  $O_2$  to maintain SpO<sub>2</sub> > 92%, CRP > 50mg/L or ferritin > 500 ng/mL or D-dimer > 1000 ng/mL or LDH > 250 U/L
- Exclusion criteria : supplemental O<sub>2</sub> > 10L/min, history of biologic agents treatment or immunosuppressive therapy or diverticulitis
- **Primary outcome**: intubation or death after TCZ or placebo administration
- Secondary outcome: clinical worsening on ordinal scale
- 242 participants; 161 TCZ + standard care group, 81 placebo group (2:1)





Coordination Opérationnelle

Characteristics	TCZ (N=161)	Placebo (N=82)	Overall (N=243)
Age (y) – median (IQR)	61,6 (46,4-69,7)	56,5 (44,7-67,8)	59,8 (45,3-69,4)
Male sex – no (%)	96 (60)	45 (55)	141 (58)
BMI (kg/m²) – median (IQR)	29,9 (26,0–34,2)	30,2 (25,7–33,8)	30,1 (25,9–34,2)
Median time from symptom onset to randomization (IQR) — days	9,0 (6,0–13,0)	10,0 (7,0–13,0)	9,0 (6,0–13,0)
Patients who received CT during the trial – no (%)	18 (11%)	5 (6%)	23 (9,5)
Coexisting conditions			
Diabetes – no (%)	45 (28)	30 (37)	75 (31)
Hypertension – no (%)	80 (50)	38 (46)	118 (49)
Chronic kidney disease – no (%)	29 (18)	13 (16)	42 (17)
Ordinal scale score — no. (%)			
2	23 (14)	15 (18)	38 (16)
3	133 (83)	61 (74)	194 (80)
4	5 (3)	5 (6)	10 (4)
			an(RS

MALADIES INFECTIEUSES ÉMERGENTES

Stone JH et al. NEJM Dec 2020



- Mechanical ventilation or death: 10,6% Cl<sub>95%</sub>[6,7–16,6] TCZ group vs. 12,5% Cl<sub>95%</sub>[6,9–22,0] placebo group, Hazard ratio: 0,83 Cl<sub>95%</sub>[0,38–1.81], p=0,64
- Clinical worsening on ordinal scale: 19,3% Cl<sub>95%</sub>[14,0–26,2] TCZ group vs. 17.4% Cl<sub>95%</sub>[10,7–27,7] placebo group, Hazard ratio: 1,11 Cl<sub>95%</sub>[0,59–2,10], p=0,73
- Limits: Remdesivir became available early in the trial, primary event rate we observed was lower than anticipated



- Prospective, open-label, randomized, multicenter, academic study, Italy (RCT-TCZ-COVID-19 trial)
- Inclusion criteria: hospitalized patients, age ≥ 18 yo, confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia (positive SRAS-CoV-2 PCR), acute respiratory failure (PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> [200-300mmHg]), fever > 38°C for at least 48h and/or CRP ≥ 100mg/L
- Exclusion criteria : patient receiving at enrollment mechanical ventilation, TCZ hypersensitivity
- **Primary outcome**: clinical worsening within 14 days since randomization (occurrence of one of these events: entry into ICU with IMV or death from any causes or clinical aggravation ( $PaO_2/FiO_2 < 150 \text{ mmHg}$ ))
- Secondary outcome (one of them): hospital discharge rates
- 126 participants; 60 TCZ group, 63 standard of care group (1:1)
   COREB



SoC: standard of care IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation STR: steroids

Characteristics	TCZ (N=60)	SoC (N=66)	Overall (N=126)
Age (y) – median (IQR)	61,5 (51,5-73,5)	60,0 (54,0-69,0)	60,0 (53,0-72,0)
Male sex – no (%)	40 (66,7)	37 (56,1)	77 (61,1)
BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² – no (%)	16 (28,1)	22 (36,1)	38 (32,2)
Coexisting conditions			
Diabetes – no (%)	10 (16,7)	9 (13,6)	19 (15,1)
Hypertension – no (%)	27 (45)	29 (43,9)	56 (44,4)
COPD – no (%)	2 (3,3)	13 (16)	42 (17)
Others			
Median time from symptom onset to randomization (IQR) - days	7,0 (4,0-11,0)	8,0 (6,0-11,0)	8,0 (6,0–11,0)
Median time from hospital admission to randomization (IQR) - days	2,0 (1,0-3,0)	2,0 (1,0-4,2)	2,0 (1,0-3,2)
C-Reactive protein - median (IQR) - mg/L	105 (50-146)	65 (32-118)	82 (37-135)
Patients who received steroids during the trial – no (%)	6 (10)	7 (10,6)	13 (10,3)





42

Salvarani C et al. JAMA Int Med Oct 2020



dination Opérationnel

## Tocilizumab (TCZ) - 3

43

MALADIES INFECTIEUSES ÉMERGENTES

- Clinical worsening within 14 days since randomization: 17/60 (28,3%) TCZ group vs. 17/63 (27%) SoC group, Rate ratio 1,05; Cl<sub>95%</sub>[0,59-1,86] p=0,87, no difference
- Hospital discharge rates : 54/60 (90%) TCZ group vs. 58/63 (92,1%) SoC group. Rate ratio 0,98; Cl<sub>95%</sub>[0,87-1,09], no difference
- Limits: not a double-blind placebo-controlled trial, prematurely interrupted after an interim analysis for futility



Salvarani C et al. JAMA Int Med Oct 2020

ation Opérationnelle

## Tocilizumab (TCZ) - 4

- Multicenter cohort, academic study, USA (STOP-COVID trial)
- Inclusion criteria: ICU hospitalized patients, age ≥ 18 yo, laboratory confirmed COVID-19
- Exclusion criteria: hospitalization for 1 week or more before ICU admission, liver dysfunction, got IL-6 antagonist other than TCZ during the first 2 days of ICU, got TCZ before ICU admission
- **Primary outcome**: in-hospital death
- Secondary outcome: incidence of secondary infection, transaminitis, arrhythmias, and thrombotic complications occurring within 14 days after ICU admission
- 3 924 participants; 433 TCZ group, 3491 no TCZ group



MALADIES INFECTIEUSES ÉMERGENTES

SoC: standard of care IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation STR: steroids

Characteristics	TCZ (N=433)	No TCZ (N=3 491)
Age (y) – median (IQR)	58 (48-65)	63 (52-72)
Male sex – no (%)	299 (69,1)	2165 62)
BMI (kg/m²) – median (IQR)	31,6 (27,5-37,0)	30,4 (26,3-35,9)
Coexisting conditions		
Diabetes – no (%)	165 (38,1)	1464 (41,9)
Hypertension – no (%)	234 (54)	2186 (62,6)
Coronary artery disease – no (%)	39 (9)	504 (14,4)
Others		
PiO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub> < 200 (mmHg) — no (%)	205 (47,3)	1322 (37,9)
Time from symptom onset to ICU admission $\leq$ 3 days – no	58 (13,4)	835 (23,9)
Fever (>38 °C) on ICU admission — no (%)	207 (47,8)	1647 (47,2)
Treated with any Steroid (%)	81 (18,7)	440 (12,6)



Gupta S et al. JAMA Int Med Oct 2020 MALADIES INFECTIEUSES ÉMERGENTES

Э



- In hospital death: 1544 patients (39.3%) died; 125/433 (28,9%) TCZ group vs. 1419/3491 (40,6%) no TCZ group, adjusted HR: 0,71; <sub>95%</sub>CI[0,56-0,92]
- Estimated 30-day mortality: 27.5% <sub>95%</sub>CI[21.2%-33.8%] TCZ group vs. 37.1% <sub>95%</sub>CI[35.5%-38.7%] no TCZ group; risk difference: 9.6%; <sub>95%</sub>CI[3.1%-16.0%]
- Limits: TCZ group patient were younger with fewer comorbidities, were more hypoxemic with more elevated inflammatory markers, corticosteroids could have been concomitantly administrated to TCZ, data missed for some key variables, high D14 overall mortality >20%







ination Opérationnelle

STR: steroids

## Tocilizumab (TCZ) - 5

- Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial
- Inclusion criteria: age ≥ 18 yo, positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR, radiographic imaging pneumonia
- Exclusion criteria: SpO<sub>2</sub> < 94% (room air) if receiving continuous positive airway pressure, bilevel positive airway pressure, or mechanical ventilation, progression of the illness to death was imminent and inevitable within 24 hours, active tuberculosis or suspected active bacterial, fungal, or viral infection (other than SARS-CoV-2)
- Primary outcome: mechanical ventilation or death by day 28
- Secondary outcome (one of them): Median time to hospital discharge or readiness for discharge
- 388 participants; **249 TCZ group, 128 placebo group** (2:1) COREB



47

Characteristics	TCZ (N=249)	Placebo (N=128)
Age (y) – mean (SD)	56 (14,3)	55,6 (14,9)
Male sex – no (%)	150 (60,2)	73 (57)
BMI (kg/m²) – mean (SD)	32 (7,9)	33,1 (7,2)
Category on seven-category ordinal scale for clinical status		
2 – no (%)	24 (9,6)	11 (8,6)
3 – no (%)	161 (64,7)	81 (63,3)
4 – no (%)	64 (25,7)	36 (28,1)
Others		
C-reactive protein level ( mg/L) — median (range)	124,50 (2,5–2099,0)	143,40 (9,0–3776,0)
Ferritin level (pmol/L) — median (range)	1401,34 (29,2–38 482,1)	1353,14 (110,1–122 328,9)







- Mechanical ventilation or death by day 28: 12% 95% CI [8,5-16,9] TCZ group vs. 19,3% 95% CI [13,3-27,4] placebo group; p=0,04 HR: 0,56; 95% CI [0,33-0,97]
- Median time to hospital discharge or readiness for discharge: 6 days <sub>95%</sub>Cl [6,0-7,0] TCZ group vs. 7,5 days <sub>95%</sub>Cl [7,0-9,0] placebo group; HR: 1,16; <sub>95%</sub>Cl [0,91-1,48]
- Death from any cause: 26/249 (10.4%) <sub>95%</sub>Cl [7,2-14,9] TCZ group *vs.* 11/128 (8,6%) <sub>95%</sub>Cl [4,9-14,9] placebo group; Weighted Difference: 2 <sub>95%</sub>Cl [-5,2-7,8]

#### Time to Mechanical Ventilation or Death by Day 28 in the Modified Intention-to-Treat Population









- Randomized, multicenter, open label trial, Brazil
- Inclusion criteria: hospitalized patients, age ≥ 18 yo, positive SRAS-CoV-2 PCR, symptoms > 3 days, receiving supplemental O<sub>2</sub> or mechanical ventilation, ≥ 2 serum biomarkers abnormal levels (C reactive protein, D dimer, lactate dehydrogenase, or ferritin)
- Exclusion criteria : uncontrolled infection, raised ASAT or ALAT > 5 times ULN, renal disease (estimated glomerular filtration of <30 mL/min/1.72 m<sup>2</sup>)
- **Primary outcome**: D15 clinical status (WHO seven level ordinal scale)
- Secondary outcome (one of them): D28 all cause mortality
- 129 participants; 65 TCZ group, 64 control group (1:1)

ULN: upper limit of normal





Veiga VC et al. BMJ Jan 2021

ordination Opérationnelle

### Tocilizumab (TCZ) - 6

Characteristics	TCZ (N=65)	Control (N=64)
Age (y) – mean (SD)	57,4 (15,7)	57,5 (13,5)
Male sex – no (%)	44 (68)	44 (69)
Coexisting conditions		
Hypertension – no (%)	30 (46)	34 (53)
Diabetes – no (%)	22 (34)	20 (31)
Obesity – no (%)	15 (23)	16 (25)
Others		
Days from symptom onset to randomization — mean (SD)	10 (3,1)	9,5 (3,0)
Respiratory rate (rpm) – median (IQR)	20 (18-24)	20 (18-25)
Peripheral oxygen saturation (%) — median (IQR)	95 (92-96)	95 (93-96)
C reactive protein (mg/L) — mean (SD)	160 (104)	193 (283)



51

52

## Tocilizumab (TCZ) - 6

- Receiving mechanical ventilation or died at day 15: 18/65 (28%) TCZ group *vs*. 13/64 (20%) placebo group; effect size 1,54 <sub>95%</sub>CI [0,66-3,66], p= 0,32
- D15 death: 11/65 (17%) TCZ group vs. 2/64 (3%) placebo group
- D28 mortality: 14/65 (21%) TCZ group vs. 6/64 (9%) placebo group; OR 2,70 <sub>95%</sub>CI [0,97-8,35], p= 0,07
- Mean (SD) D29 ventilator-free days : 19.4 (12.0) TCZ group vs. 20.5 (10.8) placebo group; Rate ratio 1,12 <sub>95%</sub>Cl [0,86-1,99], p= 0,53
- Limits: small sample size, investigators did not record the number of patients assessed for eligibility





Monoclonal antibody

#### Monoclonal antibody

## Vilobelimab (IFX-1) - 1

- **IFX-1**: anti-complement C5a monoclonal antibody
- Exploratory, open label, randomized, phase 2, multicenter, academic study, Netherlands
- Inclusion criteria : age ≥ 18yo, severe pneumonia (PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> between [100-250] mmHg), positive RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 test, requiring non-invasive or invasive ventilation
- **Primary outcome**: Day 5  $PaO_2/FiO_2$  percentage change from the baseline
- Secondary outcome: Day 28 mortality
- 30 participants; 15 control group, 15 IFX-1 treated group (1:1)





Vlaar APJ et al. Lancet Rheumatol Sep 2020

nation Opérationn

## Vilobelimab (IFX-1) - 1

- Day 5 PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> percentage change: no differences; IFX-1 group (17%) vs. control group (41%); difference –24% 95%CI[-58-9], p=0,15
- D28 mortality: IFX-1 group 13%; <sub>95%</sub>CI[0-31] vs. control group 27 %; <sub>95%</sub>CI[7-49]; HR=0,65 <sub>95%</sub>CI[0,1-4,14]



• Limits: patient heterogeneity, open label study

Characteristics	IFX-1 (N=15)	Control (N=15)
Age, mean (SD) - yr	58 (9)	63 (8)
Male sex – no (%)	11 (73)	11 (73)
Coexisting conditions		
Hypertension – no (%)	6 (40)	3 (20)
Diabetes – no (%)	4 (27)	4 (27)
Obesity – no (%)	2 (13)	4 (27)
Respiratory support		
Intubated at randomization – no (%)	8 (53)	10 (67)
Oxygen mask – no (%)	6 (40)	2 (13)
Nasal cannula – no (%)	1(7)	3 (20)



Vlaar APJ et al. Lancet Rheumatol Sep 2020

#### LY-CoV555 and LY-CoV016

- LY-CoV555 (bamlanivimab): potent antispike neutralizing MAb
- LY-CoV016 (etesevimab): potent antispike neutralizing MAb
- Randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, multicenter, USA (BLAZE-1)
- Inclusion criteria : age ≥ 18yo, not hospitalized, ≥ 1 mild or moderate COVID-19 symptoms, first positive SARS-CoV-2 viral infection ≤3 days prior to start of the infusion
- **Primary outcome**: effect of LY-CoV555 monotherapy and combination therapy with LY-CoV555 and LY-CoV016 compared with placebo on SARSCoV-2 log viral load from baseline to day 11 (±4 days)



577 participants; 101 LY-CoV555 700 mg group, 107 LY-CoV555 2800 mg group, 101 LY-CoV555 7000 mg group, 112 LY-CoV555 2800 mg + LY-CoV016 2800 mg group, 156 placebo group



Gottlieb RL et al. JAMA Jan 2022



#### LY-CoV555 and LY-CoV016

Characteristics		LY-CoV555		LY-CoV555 + LY-CoV016	Placebo
	700 mg N=101	2800 mg N=107	7000 mg N=101	2800 mg + 2800 mg N= 112	N= 156
Age (y) – median (IQR)	39 (31-58)	45 (31-56)	46 (34-55)	44 (30-60)	46 (35-57)
Female sex – no (%)	63 (62.4)	51 (47.7)	58 (57.4)	58 (51.8)	85 (54.5)
BMI (kg/m²) – median (IQR)	28,8 (25,1-35,4)	30,4 (25,6-34,0)	27,8 (24,7-32,3)	27,2 (22,9-33,0)	29,2 (25,9-34,2)
Duration of symptoms (days) , median (IQR)	5 (3-6)	4 (3-6)	4 (2-7)	4 (3-5)	4 (3-6)
SARS-CoV-2 Ct – mean (SD)	23,8 (6,5)	24,5 (7,6)	23,4 (6,8)	22,7 (8,0)	23,8 (7,8)
COVID-19 severity					
Mild – no (%)	83 (82,2)	79 (73,8)	70 (69,3)	92 (82,1)	125 (80,1)
Moderate – no (%)	18 (17,8)	28 (26,2)	31 (30,7)	20 (17,9)	31 (19,9)





#### LY-CoV555 and LY-CoV016

- D11 change from baseline SARS-CoV-2 viral load: -3,72 700 mg group vs. - 4,08 2800 mg group vs. -3,49 7000 mg group, -4,37 combination treat group, -3,80 placebo group
- Compared with placebo, differences in the change in log viral load at D11: 700 mg group 0,09; 95% Cl[-0,35 0,52], p=0,69, vs. 2800 mg group -0.27; 95% Cl[-0,71 0,16], p=0,21, vs. 7000 mg group 0.31; 95% Cl[-0,13 0,76], p=0,16 vs. combination treatment -0,57 95% Cl, [-1,00 -0,14], p = 0,01
- Limits: small patient population, trial originally designed as a safety and biomarker study

Treatment, No.		
700 mg of bamlanivimab	101	
2800 mg of bamlanivimab	107	
7000 mg of bamlanivimab	101	
2800 mg of bamlanivimab	109	
and 2800 mg of etesevimab		
Placebo	152	



Gottlieb RL et al. JAMA Jan 2021



#### **REGN-COV2**

- REGN-COV2: antibody cocktail containing two SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies
- Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, phase 1–3 study
- Inclusion criteria : age ≥ 18yo, not hospitalized, positive SARS-CoV-2 antigen or molecular test, symptom onset ≤ 7 days before randomization, O<sub>2</sub> saturation ≥93% (room air)
- **Primary outcome**: D7 viral load (VL) average change
- Secondary outcome: safety
- 275 participants; 90 REGN-COV2 high dose group, 92 REGN-COV2 low dose group, 93 placebo group (1:1:1)



Weinreich DM et al. NEJM Dec 2020



#### **REGN-COV2**

Characteristics	<b>REGN-COV2 (N=182)</b>	Placebo (N=93)
Age (y) - median (IQR)	43,0 (35,0–52,0)	45,0 (34,0–54,0)
Female sex - no (%)	98 (54)	43 (46)
BMI (kg/m²) - mean (SD)	30,51 (6,87)	29,73 (7,15)
Days from symptom onset to randomization - median (range)	3,0 (0–8)	3,0 (0–8)
Positive baseline qualitative RT-PCR - no (%)	147 (81)	81 (87)
Viral load (log <sub>10</sub> copies/mL) - mean (SD)	5,02 (2,50)	4,67 (2,37)
Baseline serum C-reactive protein (mg/L) - Mean (SD)	11,7 (24,4)	21,5 (43,5)
At least one risk factor for hospitalization - no (%) Age > 50 years, obesity, cardiovascular disease (including hypertension), chronic lung disease (including asthma), chronic metabolic disease (including diabetes), chronic kidney disease (including receipt of dialysis), chronic liver disease, and immunocompromise	118 (65)	58 (62)



#### **REGN-COV2**

- Time-weighted average change in viral load from day 1 through day 7: -1,74 <sub>95%</sub>CI[-1,95 - -1,53] REGN-COV2 group vs. -1,34 log<sub>10</sub> cp/mL <sub>95%</sub>CI[-1,60 - -1,08] placebo group
- Viral load difference vs. placebo at day 7: -0,41 log<sub>10</sub> cp/mL<sub>95%</sub>CI[-0,71 - -0,10]
- **Safety:** Grade 3 or 4 event: 1/176 (0,56%) REGN-COV2 group *vs.* 1/93 (1,07%) placebo group, Event that led to infusion interruption 1/176 (0,56%) REGN-COV2 group *vs.* 1/93 (1,07%) placebo group, none led to death
- Limits: interim analysis





## Anakinra (ANK)

- Anakinra: recombinant human IL-1 receptor antagonist
- Multicenter, open-label, Bayesian randomized clinical trial, France (CORIMUNO-ANA-1)
- Inclusion criteria : positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR or chest CT scan typical of COVID-19 pneumonia, mild-to-moderate, severe, or critical pneumonia (O<sub>2</sub> flow of >3 L/min via mask or nasal cannula and WHO-CPS score ≥5 points)
- Coprimary outcome: proportion of patients who had died or needed NIV or MV (WHO-CPS score of >5 points) at D4, survival with no need for MV or NIV at D14
- 116 participants; **59 ANK** group, **57 usual care** group (1:1)





MV: mechanical ventilation UC: usual care NIV: non-invasive ventilation

The CORIMUNO-19 Collaborative group. Lancet Resp Med Jan 2021

#### Anakinra (ANK)

Characteristics	Anakinra (N=59)	Usual care (N=55)
Age (y) - median (IQR)	67,0 (55,5–74,3)	64,9 (59,5–78,3)
Female sex - no (%)	16 (27)	18 (33)
BMI (kg/m²) - median (IQR)	27,4 (24,9-32,0)	26,8 (24,7-31,5)
Coexisting conditions		
Chronic cardiac disease - no (%)	22 (37%)	14 (25%)
Diabetes - no (%)	19 (32%)	15 (27%)
Chronic kidney disease (stage 1 to 3) or dialysis - no (%)	5 (8%)	3 (5%)
Others		
O <sub>2</sub> flow (L/min) - median (IQR)	5,0 (4,0–7,0)	6,0 (4,0–9,0)
Respiratory rate (breaths/min) - median (IQR)	28,0 (24,0–32,0)	28,0 (23,0–36,0)
C-reactive protein (mg/L) - median (IQR)	121,0 (77,0–198,0)	120,0 (87,0–191,5)
Time from symptoms onset to randomization (days) - median (IQR)	10,0 (8,0–13,0)	10,0 (7,0–13,0)



The CORIMUNO-19 Collaborative group. Lancet Resp Med Jan 2021

Monoclonal antibody

## Anakinra (ANK)

- WHO-CPS score of >5 points) at D4: 21/59 (36%) anakinra group vs. 21/55 (38%) usual treatment group, median posterior ARD: – 2,5%, <sub>90%</sub>CI[–17,1 - 12,0]
- Survival with no need for MV or NIV at D14: 28/59 (47%) anakinra group vs. 28/55 (51%) usual treatment group, median posterior HR: 0,97, <sub>90%</sub>CI[0,62 - 1,52]
- Overall mortality at D90: 16/59 (27%) anakinra group vs. 15/55 (27%) usual treatment group, median posterior HR: 0,97, <sub>95%</sub>CI[0,46 - 2,04]
- Limits: not blinded trial, usual care may differed among centers, small sample size
- Study stopped early for futility





**SNG001**: inhaled nebulized INFβ-1a

SoC: standard of care

STR: steroids

- Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2, multicenter, academic trial, UK (SG016)
- **Inclusion criteria**: age  $\geq$  18 yo, hospitalized patients, COVID-19 symptoms, positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR
- **Exclusion** criteria: inability to use a nebulizer, pregnant and breastfeeding women,
- **Primary outcome:** clinical condition change (WHO Ordinal Scale for Clinical Improvement)
- Secondary outcome: change in Breathlessness, Cough And Sputum Scale score, safety and tolerability
- 101 participants; **50 SNG001** group, **51 placebo** group (1:1)

IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation



Characteristics	SNG001 (N=50)	Placebo (N=51)
Age (y) – mean (SD)	57,8 (14,6)	56,5 (11,9)
Male sex – no (%)	27 (56)	31 (62)
Coexisting conditions		
Hypertension – no (%)	18/26 (69)	11/27 (41)
Diabetes – no (%)	3/26 (12)	9/27 (33)
Cardiovascular disease – no (%)	5/26 (19)	8/27 (30)
Chronic lung condition – no (%)	11/26 (42)	12/27 (44)
Severity of disease at baseline		
Limitation of activities — no (%)	0	1 (2)
Hospitalised (no oxygen therapy) — no (%)	11 (23)	19 (38)
Oxygen by mask or nasal prongs — no (%)	36 (75)	28 (56)
Non-invasive ventilation or high-flow oxygen — no (%)	1 (2)	1 (2)



Monk PD et al. Lancet Resp Med Nov 2020

Э

- Clinical condition change (D15 or D16 OSCI improvement): 36/48 (75,0%) SNG001 group vs. 35/50 (70%) placebo group; OR: 2,32; <sub>95%</sub>Cl[1,07-5,04], p=0,033
- D14 BCSS score: difference between SNG001 group and placebo group: -0,8; <sub>95%</sub>CI[-1,5;-0,1], p=0,026
- **Safety**: serious adverse events considered either unlikely be related to study treatment or not related to study treatment
- Limits: limited sample size, OSCI: new tool at the time of the study, nebulizer not suitable for ventilated patients, follow-up limited at 28 days





Immunomodulatory effect

- Randomized, open-label, non-placebocontrolled, international trial, WHO, SOLIDARITY
- Inclusion criteria: patients aged ≥ 18yo, hospitalized with definite COVID-19, not already receiving any of the study drugs, no allergy nor contra-indications to any of them
- Exclusion criteria: significant contraindication to any one of the study drugs
- Primary outcome: all-cause mortality
- Secondary outcome: initiation of mechanical ventilation and hospitalization duration
- 4127 patients underwent randomization; 2063
   INF group, 2064 control group (1:1)





67

SOLIDARITY NEJM Dec 2020

C	haracteristics	All (N= 11 266)	INF (N= 2 050)	Control (N=2 050)
Age	< 50 yr – no (%)	3995 (35)	720	697
	50-69 yr – no (%)	5125 (45)	934	973
	≥ 70 yr – no (%)	2146 (19)	396	380
Sex	Male sex – no (%)	6985 (62)	1303	1278
Co existing condition	<b>s</b> Diabetes – no(%)	2768 (25)	489	537
	Heart disease – no (%)	2337 (21)	427	456
	Chronic lung disease – no (%)	635 (6)	114	109
Respiratory support	No supplemental O <sub>2</sub> at entry	3204 (28)	482	490
	Supplemental O <sub>2</sub> at entry	7146 (63)	1429	1430
	Already receiving ventilation	916 (8)	139	130



SOLIDARITY NEJM Dec 2020

Study stopped for

futility on 16<sup>th</sup>

October

ination Opérationne

#### Interferon beta 1a (INFβ-1a)

- All-cause mortality: 243/2050 (12,9%) INFβ-1a group vs. 216/2050 (11%) placebo group; rate ratio: 1,16; <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,96-1,39]; p= 0,11
- Initiation of mechanical ventilation: INFβ-1a group: 209/1911 (10,9%) vs. control group 210/2475 (10,9%)

Control

(n=1301)

100

60

40

20

alive (%)

Discharge

Time to discharge: INFβ-1a did not reduced hospitalization duration

7

Interferon alone

on days 0 to 6

(n=1327)

14

**Days since Randomization** 

21

28



SOLIDARITY NEJM Dec 2020

## Baricitinib (JAK inhibitors)

- Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, multicenter, academic study, Adaptive Covid-19 Treatment Trial 2 (ACTT-2)
- Inclusion criteria: hospitalized patients aged ≥ 18yo, positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test, lower respiratory tract infection (radiographic infiltrates, SpO<sub>2</sub> ≤94% (room air), requiring supplemental O<sub>2</sub>, mechanical ventilation, or ECMO)
- Exclusion criteria: significant contraindication to any one of the study drugs
- Primary outcome: time to recovery

RDV: Remdesivir

- Secondary outcome: clinical status at day 15, D28 mortality, adverse events
- 1033 patients underwent randomization; **515 Baricitinib + RDV** group, **518 control** group (1:1)



**507** included in the as-treated population **8** excluded from as-treated population owing to not receiving at least 1 tablet 518 included in the 11 population
509 included in the as-treated population
9 excluded from as-treated population
owing to not receiving at least 1 tablet



Kalil AC et al. NEJM Dec 2020

Immunomodulatory effect

nission nationale

oordination Opérationnelle

#### Baricitinib (JAK inhibitors)

Characteristics	All (N= 1033)	Baricitinib + RDV (N= 515)	Placebo + RDV (N= 518)
Age – Mean – yr (SD)	55,4 (15,7)	55,0 (15,4)	55,8 (16,0)
Male sex – no (%)	652 (63,1)	319 (61,9)	333 (64,3)
BMI – Mean – kg/m <sup>2</sup> (SD)	32,2 (8,3)	32,2 (8,2)	32,3 (8,4)
Time from symptom onset to randomization – Median – days (IQR)	8 (5–10)	8 (5–10)	8 (5–11)
Disease severity			
Moderate – no (%)	706 (68,3)	358 (69,5)	348 (67,2)
Severe – no (%)	327 (31,7)	157 (30,5)	170 (32,8)
Score on ordinal scale – no (%)			
<ol> <li>Hospitalized, not requiring supplemental O<sub>2</sub>, requiring ongoing medical care (Covid-19–related or otherwise)</li> </ol>	142 (13,7)	70 (13,6)	72 (13,9)
5. Hospitalized, requiring supplemental O <sub>2</sub>	564 (54,6)	288 (55,9)	276 (53,3)
6. Hospitalized, receiving NIV or high-flow O <sub>2</sub> devices	216 (20,9)	103 (20,0)	113 (21,8)
7. Hospitalized, receiving invasive MV or ECMO	111 (10,7)	54 (10,5)	57 (11,0)
COREB			antes

NIV: Non invasive ventilation MV: mechanical ventilation

Kalil AC et al. NEJM Dec 2020



#### Baricitinib (JAK inhibitors)

- Time to recovery (median days): 7 days baricitinib + RDV group vs. 8 days RDV group; RR: 1,16 <sub>95%</sub>IC[1,01-1,32]; p = 0,03
- Clinical status at day 15: baricitinib + RDV group 30% higher odds of improvement; OR: 1,3 <sub>95%</sub>IC[1,0-1,6]
- D28 mortality: baricitinib + RDV group: 5,1% <sub>95%</sub>IC[3,5-7,6] vs. RDV group: 7,8% <sub>95%</sub>IC[5,7-10,6], Hazard ratio: 0,65; <sub>95%</sub>IC[0,39-1,09]
- Serious adverse events: baricitinib + RDV group:81/515 (16%) vs. RDV group: 107/518 (21%) between-group difference: -5.0; <sub>95%</sub>IC[-9,8:-0,3]; p=0.03
   Barici






Passive	immunity

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Agarwal	Open label, parallel arm, multicenter, RCT	CP + SoC group <i>vs.</i> SoC group	<b>N= 464</b> Moderate COVID-19 illness	D28 all cause mortality	15% (34/235) CP group <i>vs</i> . 14% (31/229) control group, RR: 1,04 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,66-1,63]
Joyner	Open label, multicenter	СР	<b>N= 5 000</b> High risk of progression to severe or life-threatening COVID-19	Determine the safety of transfusion of COVID-19 CP	Incidence of SAEs in the first four hours after transfusion: < 1% Three severe allergic transfusion reactions, 4 deaths, 18 TACO&TRALI (2 definitely related to CP)





73

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Li	Open-label, multicenter, randomized	CP + SoC group <i>vs.</i> SoC group	N= 103 Severe pneumonia (≥30 breaths/min, SpO <sub>2</sub> ≤ 94% or PaO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub> ≤ 300)	Time to clinical improvement within 28 days	51,9% (27/52) CP group <i>vs.</i> 43,1% (22/51) control group, HR: 1,40 <sub>95%</sub> CI[0,79-2,49]; p = 0,26
Liu	Retrospective, propensity score- matched case- control study	CP vs. Control group	<b>N= 195</b> Severe or immediately life-threatening COVID-19	D14 oxygen requirement	Worsened in 17,9% of CP recipients <i>vs.</i> 28,2% of propensity score matched controls hospitalized with COVID-19





Liu S.T.H et al. Nature Medicine Jun 2020

74

#### Passive immunity

ordination Opérationnelle

## Convalescent plasma (CP) - 3

1 <sup>st</sup> Author	Design	Groups	Participants	Primary outcome	Main results (Primary outcome)
Simonovich	Double-blind, placebo- controlled, multicenter trial, Argentina	CP group <i>vs.</i> placebo group	<b>N= 334</b> Severe COVID-19 pneumonia (PaO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub> < 300, or SpO <sub>2</sub> $\leq$ 93% (room air) or SOFA $\geq$ 2 points above baseline status	Clinical status 30 days after intervention	Death: CP group: 25/228 (11%) vs. placebo group: 12/105 (11,4%) Invasive ventilatory support: CP group: 19/228 (8,3%) vs. placebo group: 10/105 (9,5%) Discharged with full return to baseline physical function: CP group: 141/228 (61,8%) vs. placebo group: 72/105 (68,6%) OR : 0,81 <sub>95%</sub> CI [0,50-1,31], p = 0,396



75

- Observational, multicenter, academic study, France
- Inclusion criteria: B-cell immunodeficiency with prolonged COVID-19 symptoms, positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR from respiratory samples, no SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion
- 17 patients treated with 4 units of COVID-19 convalescent plasma



Characteristics (	СР	
Age, media	an [range] - yr	58 [35-77]
Male	e sex – no (%)	12 (71)
Hematological	malignancies	15 (88)
Non - Hematological	malignancies	2 (12)
	4 – no (%)	5 (29)
OVID -19 severity (WHO score) n (%)	5-6 – no (%)	10 (59)
	7 – no (%)	2 (12)
Time between COVID - onset and CPT (days), m	19 symptoms edian [range]	56 [7-83]
Time for oxygen wear (days), m	ning after CPT edian [range]	5 [1-45]
Overall s	survival, n (%)	16 (94)

- Clinical symptoms: 16/17 patients experienced amelioration of SARS-CoV-2 within 48 hours CP
- SARS-CoV-2 RNAemia: 9/9 patients witnessed a decreased below sensitivity threshold

- Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, Argentina, high IgG titers against SARS-CoV-2 CP
- Inclusion criteria: age ≥ 75 yo, or age between 65 and 74 yo with coexisting condition (HTA, diabetes, obesity, chronic renal failure, cardiovascular disease, COPD), laboratory confirmed COVID-19, at least 2 symptoms within ≤48 h: t° ≥37,5°C or unexplained sweating, or chills; and dry cough, dyspnea, fatigue, myalgia, anorexia, sore throat, dysgeusia, anosmia, or rhinorrhea
- Exclusion criteria: severe respiratory disease
- **Primary outcome**: severe respiratory disease\*
- Secondary outcome (some of them): life-threatening respiratory disease, noninvasive or invasive ventilation, admission to an intensive care unit
- 160 participants; 80 CP group, 80 placebo group
   COREB
   mission nationale
   \*respiratory rate ≥ 30 breaths/min, SpO<sub>2</sub> ≤93% (room air)





Characteristics	CP (N=80)	Placebo (N=80)
Age (y) – mean (SD)	76,4 (8,7)	77,9 (8,4)
Female sex – no (%)	54 (68)	46 (58)
Coexisting conditions		
Hypertension – no (%)	62 (78)	52 (65)
Treated Diabetes – no (%)	23 (29)	13 (16)
Obesity – no (%)	4 (5)	8 (10)
Treated COPD – no (%)	2 (2)	5 (6)
Cardiovascular disease – no (%)	14 (18)	7 (79)
Chronic renal failure – no (%)	1 (1)	3 (4)
Others		
Respiratory rate (breaths/min) – mean (SD)	17 (2,8)	17,3 (3)
SpO <sub>2</sub> while breathing ambient air (%) – mean (SD)	96,1 (1,6)	96,1 (1,7)
Time since onset of symptoms (hr) — no (%)	39,6 (13,9)	38,3 (14,3)



Libster R et al. NEJM Jan 2021 MALADIES INFECTIEUSES ÉMERGENTES

- Severe respiratory disease: 13/80 (16%) CP group *vs.* 25/80 (31%) placebo group, RR: 0,52; <sub>95%</sub>CI [0,29-0,94]
- Life-threatening respiratory disease: 4/80 (5%) CP group *vs.* 10/80 (12%) placebo group; RR: 0,40; <sub>95%</sub>CI [0,13-1,22]
- Noninvasive ventilation: 1/80 (1%) CP group vs. 6/80 (12%) placebo group; RR: 0,17; <sub>95%</sub>CI [0,02–1,35]
- Admission to intensive care unit : 2/80 (2%) CP group vs. 6/80 (8%) placebo group; RR: 0,33; <sub>95%</sub>CI [0,07–1,60]
- Limits: Trial stopped early at 76% of No. at Risk projected sample size because a decrease in Covid-19.





- Retrospective study based on a U.S. national registry
- Inclusion criteria: hospitalized patients, age ≥ 18yo, positive SARS-CoV-2 RT PCR, patients at high risk for progression to severe or lifethreatening COVID-19
- Main outcome: mortality at 30 days after the transfusion of convalescent plasma
- Cohort consisted of 3082 patients from 680 acute care facilities across the United States; 561 in low titer group, 2006 in medium titer group and 515 in high titer group.





Low Titer (N=561)	Medium Titer (N=2006)	High Titer (N=515)
63/561 (11,2)	241/2006 (12,0)	241/2006 (12,0)
201/559 (36,0)	774/2002 (38,7)	221/515 (42,9)
263/511 (51,5)	980/1891 (51,8)	288/490 (58,8)
382/561 (68,1)	1286/2006 (64,1)	341/515 (66,2)
344/561 (61,3)	1226/2006 (61,1)	298/515 (57,9)
183/548 (33,4)	666/1963 (33,9)	158/510 (31,0)
bgroup of patients with seve	ere or life-threatening COVID-	19
269/382 (70,4)	909/1286 (70,7)	233/341 (68,3)
194/382 (50,8)	588/1286 (45,7)	147/341 (43,1)
177/382 (46,3)	580/1286 (45,1)	157/341 (46,0)
137/382 (35,9)	451/1286 (35,1)	93/341 (27,3)
	Low Titer (N=561) 63/561 (11,2) 201/559 (36,0) 263/511 (51,5) 382/561 (68,1) 344/561 (61,3) 183/548 (33,4) bgroup of patients with seve 269/382 (70,4) 194/382 (50,8) 177/382 (46,3) 137/382 (35,9)	Low Titer (N=561)Medium Titer (N=2006)63/561 (11,2)241/2006 (12,0)201/559 (36,0)774/2002 (38,7)201/559 (36,0)774/2002 (38,7)263/511 (51,5)980/1891 (51,8)263/511 (51,5)980/1891 (51,8)382/561 (68,1)1286/2006 (64,1)382/561 (68,1)1226/2006 (61,1)344/561 (61,3)1226/2006 (61,1)183/548 (33,4)666/1963 (33,9)bgroup of patients with sev-r life-threatening COVID-269/382 (70,4)909/1286 (70,7)194/382 (50,8)588/1286 (45,7)177/382 (46,3)580/1286 (45,1)137/382 (35,9)451/1286 (35,1)



Joyner MJ *et al. NEJM* Jan 2021

- Death within 30 days after plasma transfusion:
  - Overall population: 830/3082 (26,9%); <sub>95%</sub>CI[25,4-28,5]
  - Low-titer group: 166/561 (29,6%)
  - Medium-titer group: 549/2006 (27,4%); RR: 0,92 <sub>95%</sub>CI[0,80-1,07]
  - High-titer group: 115/515 (22,3%); RR: 0,75 <sub>95%</sub>CI[0,61-0,93]
- <u>Limits</u>: retrospective cohort study, limited availability of data, missing data, lack of precision in details regarding the temporal relationship between concomitant medication use and CP transfusion.

#### Relative Risk of Death within 30 Days after Convalescent Plasma Transfusion

High vs. Low Antibody Levels

Subgroup	No. of Patients	Mortality at 30 Days	<sub>95%</sub> Cl
Before May 15, no mechanical ventilation, 18–59 yr	109	<	0.47 (0.14-1.57)
May 15 or later, no mechanical ventilation, 18–59 yr	196	<b>→</b>	0.74 (0.26-2.13)
Before May 15, no mechanical ventilation, 60-69 yr	93		0.62 (0.26-1.47)
May 15 or later, no mechanical ventilation, 60-69 yr	117	·•	0.66 (0.31-1.38)
Before May 15, no mechanical ventilation, ≥70 yr	74	<b>⊢</b>	0.57 (0.26-1.22)
May 15 or later, no mechanical ventilation, ≥70 yr	128	<b>⊢</b>	0.73 (0.45-1.20)
Before May 15, mechanical ventilation, 18–59 yr	81	·	1.35 (0.72-2.54)
May 15 or later, mechanical ventilation, 18–59 yr	47	<●────	0.31 (0.10-0.97)
Before May 15, mechanical ventilation, 60–69 yr	75	⊢ <b>↓</b> ●−−−↓	1.14 (0.70-1.88)
May 15 or later, mechanical ventilation, 60–69 yr	44	<b>⊢</b>	0.60 (0.30-1.19)
Before May 15, mechanical ventilation, ≥70 yr	48	⊢ <b>↓●</b> ──┤	1.29 (0.76-2.21)
May 15 or later, mechanical ventilation, >70 yr	46	<b>⊢</b>	0.91 (0.50-1.66)
All subgroups	1058	0.25 0.50 1.00 2.00 3.00	0.80 (0.65–0.97)





### THERAPEUTIC (February 18th 2021)

#### 1. What drug showed clinical efficacy?

 Dexamethasone is the first drug to show life-saving efficacy in patients infected with COVID-19

#### 2. What drugs did not show proven benefits?

 No proven benefits have been reported with (hydroxy)chloroquine, ivermectin nor lopinavir/ritonavir treatment









Contacts

Pr F-Xavier Lescure xavier.lescure@aphp.fr

Dr Eric D'Ortenzio eric.dortenzio@inserm.fr